

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/656,553 | 09/06/2003 | Oscar D. Sandlin | | 8187 | |
| 7590 02/02/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| John V. Moriarty | | | NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS | | |
| Woodard, Emha | ardt, Moriarty, McNett & | Henry LLP | <u></u> | | |
| Bank One Towe | er | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 111 Monument Circle Suite 3700 | | | 1714 | | |
| Indianapolis, IN 46204 | | | DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-------------------|----------------|---|
| 10/656,553 | SANDLIN ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | _ |
| Patrick D. Niland | 1714 | |

| | Paulick D. Milanu | 1714 | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3 | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | • | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | • | i E below); | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mnliant Amendment | (PTOL-324) | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mphane Amondmone | (1 10L-024). | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling th | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | vided below of appended. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11, 13-14, 16-17, 19-20, and 22-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | ut before or on the date of filing a N | otice of Appeal will no | t be entered | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fai | ls to provide a | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | • | | • | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by of the reasons stated in the final rejection. | • | | nce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | a | | | |
| 13. Other: | | THE STATE OF THE S | | | | |
| | \mathcal{C} | Patrick D. Niland Primary Examiner | par . | | | |
| | | Art Unit: 1714 | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)